



ENGINEERS &  
GEOSCIENTISTS  
BRITISH COLUMBIA

**IN THE MATTER OF THE *PROFESSIONAL GOVERNANCE ACT*  
S.B.C. 2018, CHAPTER 47**

**and**

**IN THE MATTER OF IK (PETER) SUCK CHUN (LICENSE #44270)**

**ENGINEERS AND GEOSCIENTISTS BC FILE NO. T23-030**

**CONSENT ORDER**

**Background**

1. On February 26, 2026, the Association of Professional Engineers and Geoscientists of the Province of British Columbia, doing business as Engineers and Geoscientists BC issued a Citation to Ik (Peter) Suck Chun pursuant to section 66(1) of the *Professional Governance Act*, S.B.C. 2018, c. 47 (the “PGA”).
2. The Citation sets out allegations against Mr. Chun related to his design for a prefabricated steel structure with a building area of 580m<sup>2</sup>, for a property located in Williams Lake, BC (the “Building”), as depicted in structural drawing ES8-6010025-PDM (the “Structural Drawing”).
3. Mr. Chun was granted licensure as a Professional Engineer with Engineers and Geoscientists BC on September 28, 2016. On June 18, 2023, Mr. Chun changed the status of his license to “Non-Practicing”. On February 8, 2024, Mr. Chun’s registration with Engineers and Geoscientists BC was cancelled due to his failure to renew, and as a result, Mr. Chun is no longer permitted to practice engineering in British Columbia.
4. At the time of the conduct outlined in this Consent Order, Mr. Chun was the sole practitioner of Max Engineering Ltd., Permit to Practice number 1000891.

5. Engineers and Geoscientists BC and Mr. Chun wish to resolve this matter by consent pursuant to section 73(2) of the *PGA* in order to avoid the need for a disciplinary hearing.
6. Mr. Chun consents to the disposition set out below.

### **Admissions**

7. Mr. Chun admits that he demonstrated professional misconduct in relation to his conduct as described in paragraph 2 as follows:
  - a. Mr. Chun committed professional misconduct contrary to the *PGA* in relation to professional engineering services he provided on or about October 25, 2022, by authenticating the Structural Drawing. In particular:
    - i. the Structural Drawing incorrectly depicts the arch trusses of the Building as continuous structural members and fails to indicate the number of individual arch components or depict the locations of connections between those components;
    - ii. the structural analysis performed by Mr. Chun was performed on the basis that the arch trusses of the Building were each a single structural member, without accounting for the multiple individual components that formed each arch truss, and without accounting for the connections between those structural members;
    - iii. the Structural Drawing is a simplified drawing that was derived from a three-dimensional AutoCAD drawing (the “Original 3D Drawing”) provided to the Building vendor (the “Vendor”) by the overseas manufacturer of the Building. The Structural Drawing was created without Mr. Chun’s involvement by a non-registrant employed by the Vendor in the capacity of draftsperson (the “Vendor’s Draftsperson”). Contrary to section 7.3.7 of the Bylaws, as they were at the time, Mr. Chun relied exclusively on the work of the Vendor’s Draftsperson, who was not under his direct supervision, without reviewing the Original 3D Drawing;
    - iv. section 4.3.4 of Division B of the British Columbia Building Code (2018) (the “BCBC”) requires that structural members made of structural steel shall conform to CSA S16. Contrary to s. 4.3.4 of Division B of the BCBC, the Structural Drawing contains only a Chinese steel specification, and Mr. Chun took no steps to confirm that the specified Chinese steel conformed with the applicable Canadian standards;
    - v. the Structural Drawing depicts base plates and expansion bolts installed at lock block junctions, without accounting for required

minimum edge distance, rendering the Building unsafe or unconstructible as depicted in the Structural Drawing; and

- vi. the Structural Drawing fails to indicate which version of the BC Building Code was used to design the Building.
- b. Mr. Chun committed professional misconduct contrary to the *PGA* by authenticating “Letter of Assurance Schedule B – Assurance of Professional Design and Commitment for Field Review” for the Building (“Schedule B”) on October 25, 2022. In particular, contrary to his commitments and the requirements of Schedule B:
- i. Mr. Chun did not submit Schedule B prior to the commencement of construction activities associated with the Building’s structural components. Schedule B was submitted retroactively after construction commenced;
  - ii. Mr. Chun did not undertake responsibility for field reviews of the Building’s structural components; and
  - iii. At no time did Mr. Chun have a contract for field review of the structural components of the Building.
- c. Mr. Chun committed professional misconduct contrary to the *PGA* by failing to conduct one or more field reviews during construction of the Building. In particular:
- i. contrary to the Principle 1 of the Code of Ethics of Engineers and Geoscientists BC, as it was at the time (the “Code of Ethics”), Mr. Chun failed to identify that the Building’s steel components suffered from poor quality welds and rusted steel, and as such, he did not hold paramount the safety, health, and welfare of the public; and
  - ii. Mr. Chun failed to comply with the mandatory requirements of section 7.3.3 of the Bylaws, as they were at the time, which required completion of one or more field reviews during the construction of the Building in a manner that was appropriate to the level of risk that has been assessed through a documented risk assessment, which Mr. Chun did not do.
- d. Mr. Chun committed professional misconduct contrary to the *PGA* by failing to practice only in fields where training and ability made him professionally competent, contrary to Principle 2 of the Code of Ethics. In particular, by his own admission, Mr. Chun did not fully understand the requirements for practicing structural engineering at the time of authenticating the Structural Drawing and taking responsibility for the Building in accordance with Schedule B.

- e. Mr. Chun committed professional misconduct contrary to the *PGA* by failing to have regard for applicable standards, policies, plans, and practices established by the government or Engineers and Geoscientists BC, contrary to Principle 4 of the Code of Ethics and section 7.3.1(2) of the Bylaws. In particular:
  - i. Mr. Chun did not have regard for the requirements of the BCBC as they relate to steel structures;
  - ii. Mr. Chun did not ensure that a documented check of the Structural Drawing, or his underlying analysis and calculations, was conducted in accordance with section 7.3.4 of the Bylaws;
  - iii. Mr. Chun did not obtain a documented independent review of the Structural Drawing prior to issuing the Structural Drawing for construction, contrary to section 7.3.5 of the Bylaws; and
  - iv. by his own admission, Mr. Chun was unaware of the requirement for documented independent review of the Structural Drawing at the material time.

## **Disposition**

8. By consent, this Consent Order is made pursuant to section 73 of the *PGA*.
9. Mr. Chun is prohibited from applying to reinstate his registration with Engineers and Geoscientists BC for a period of two (2) years beginning from the date of execution of this Consent Order.
10. If at any time Mr. Chun applies for reinstatement of his registration with Engineers and Geoscientists BC, he must re-apply, at his own expense, as if he were a first time applicant for the P.Eng. designation, and meet all eligibility requirements per the Bylaws of Engineers and Geoscientists BC at the time of application, which may include:
  - a. the academic requirements;
  - b. the experience requirements, including demonstration of a minimum of four years of satisfactory engineering work experience through the completion of a competency self-assessment and the provision of at least four validators who can confirm the work experience;
  - c. legal and ethical requirements, including successfully completing the National Professional Practice Examination, and the Professional Engineering and Geoscience Practice in BC Online Seminar;
  - d. English language competence;

- e. good character and repute requirements; and
  - f. any other requirements deemed necessary or prudent by the Credentials Committee.
11. Mr. Chun will pay \$7,287.02 to Engineers and Geoscientists BC contemporaneous with the execution of this Consent Order as a contribution toward the legal and investigative costs incurred in this matter.
  12. In the event that Mr. Chun fails to comply with any of the terms of this Consent Order, he will be prohibited from applying for reinstatement of his registration with Engineers and Geoscientists BC until every default has been remedied in accordance with the terms of this Consent Order.

**Consequences of the Consent Order**

13. The full text of this Consent Order will be published on the website of Engineers and Geoscientists BC, and a summary will be published in print and electronic publications, including in public communications.
14. Mr. Chun agrees Engineers and Geoscientists BC recommended that he receive independent legal advice regarding this Consent Order.

*[INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]*

15. Engineers and Geoscientists BC and Mr. Chun agree that this Consent Order may be executed in counterparts and delivered as an electronic document.

This Consent Order is approved and accepted by Mr. Chun and the members of the Discipline Resolution Panel this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of June 2026.

<original signed by>  
Mr. Ik (Peter) Suck Chun

<original signed by>  
Name of Witness

<original signed by>  
Signature of Witness

<original signed by>  
Larry Spence, P.Eng.  
Member, Discipline Resolution Panel

<original signed by>  
Thomas Leung, P.Eng.  
Member, Discipline Resolution Panel

<original signed by>  
David Wende  
Member, Discipline Resolution Panel