



ENGINEERS &
GEOSCIENTISTS
BRITISH COLUMBIA

**IN THE MATTER OF THE *PROFESSIONAL GOVERNANCE ACT*
S.B.C. 2018, CHAPTER 47**

and

**IN THE MATTER OF HAMID MAJID HUSSAIN (FORMER LICENSE # 28783)
ENGINEERS AND GEOSCIENTISTS BC FILE NOs.: SR25-001, T25-062**

CONSENT ORDER

Background

1. On November 6, 2025, pursuant to section 66(1) of the *Professional Governance Act*, S.B.C. 2018 c. 47 (the “PGA”), the appointed Investigator of the Association of Professional Engineers and Geoscientists of the Province of British Columbia, doing business as Engineers and Geoscientists BC, issued two investigation reports regarding Mr. Hamid Majid Hussain. One report pertained to file number SR25-001 and the other report pertained to file number T25-062. The investigation reports were provided to the Investigation Committee pursuant to section 9.7.6 (1) of the Bylaws of Engineers and Geoscientists BC (the “Bylaws”).
2. The investigation report in file number SR25-001 concerned Mr. Hussain’s engineering services in relation to the improper use of both his manual and digital seals, the improper storage and retention of records between July 2022 and March 2024, the review and improper authentication of shop drawings for a project at [REDACTED] in New Westminster, British Columbia (the “New Westminster Project”), and the improper authentication of a survey letter for a property at [REDACTED] in Abbotsford, British Columbia (the “Survey Letter”).
3. The investigation report in file number T25-062 concerned Mr. Hussain’s engineering work and inspection services on truss design documents as the supporting registered professional for three single family residences at [REDACTED] Pemberton, British Columbia (the “Pemberton Project”).

4. Mr. Hussain was granted licensure as a Professional Engineer with Engineers and Geoscientists BC on March 23, 2004. On August 31, 2025, Mr. Hussain voluntarily resigned his registration with Engineers and Geoscientists BC.
5. At the time of the conduct outlined in this Consent Order, Mr. Hussain was the sole practitioner of HH Engineering Consultant Ltd. (“HH Engineering”), Permit to Practice number PP1003741. Through HH Engineering, Mr. Hussain worked for A1 Trusses Ltd. (“A1 Trusses”) as its Engineer of Record.
6. On November 6, 2025, the Investigation Committee appointed a Resolution Subcommittee to attempt to resolve files SR25-001 and T25-062 by means of a Consent Order.
7. The Investigation Committee and Mr. Hussain wish to resolve files SR25-001 and T25-062 by consent pursuant to section 73(2) of the *PGA* to avoid the need for a disciplinary hearing.
8. Mr. Hussain consents to the disposition set out below.

Admissions

Engineers and Geoscientists BC File SR25-001

9. Mr. Hussain admits that he demonstrated professional misconduct in relation to his conduct as described in paragraph 2 as follows:
 - a. he obtained a manual seal on July 18, 2022, bearing incorrect license number 26738 (the “Incorrect Manual Seal”). Mr. Hussain did not notice the incorrect license number and continued to use the Incorrect Manual Seal to authenticate documents until March 2024, when Engineers and Geoscientists BC notified him of the error;
 - b. he failed to retain a record of the documents that he authenticated with the Incorrect Manual Seal between July 2022 and March 2024;
 - c. on or around July 5, 2023, he verified his identity with Notarius Solutions Inc. Subsequently he provided a paper copy of the Incorrect Manual Seal bearing his signature and an incorrect Permit to Practice number for HH Engineering (PP1003471) to a Director at A1 Trusses for the purpose of “digital signing.” Subsequently a digital seal was created (the “Incorrect Digital Seal”);
 - d. he failed to exercise care and control over the Incorrect Digital Seal with respect to at least nine projects in which the Incorrect Digital Seal had been applied without his consent or knowledge by an individual other than himself;

- e. on or about July 9, 2024, two project drawings were authenticated depicting a truss and layout for a project involving a small dormer renovation for the New Westminster Project. In particular:
 - i. one project drawing bore the Incorrect Digital Seal that did not include a Digital Certificate; and
 - ii. the Incorrect Digital Seal was applied incorrectly in that the image of the seal was identical on both drawings indicating that the drawings were not authenticated separately.
 - f. on February 21, 2024, Mr. Hussain authenticated the Survey Letter which was deficient in the following ways:
 - i. it bore no authentication date;
 - ii. the Incorrect Manual Seal was applied; and
 - iii. Mr. Hussain's signature differed significantly than in other correspondence and in re-authenticated documentation.
10. The conduct set out above at paragraph 9 is contrary to section 7.3.7(3) of the Bylaws which states that a professional registrant must establish, maintain, and follow documented procedures related to a professional registrant's manual seal, a professional registrant's digital seal, and authenticating documents, in accordance with the requirements set out in this section of the Bylaws.
 11. The conduct set out above at paragraphs 9(d) and 9(e) is contrary to subsection 7.3.7(14) of the Bylaws which states that if a professional registrant employed by or under contract with a registrant firm authenticates a document on behalf of the registrant firm, the registrant firm's permit to practice number must be applied visibly to the document.
 12. The conduct set out above in paragraph 9 and is contrary to Principle 4 of the Engineers and Geoscientists BC Code of Ethics (the "Code of Ethics"), which requires that registrants have regard for applicable standards, policies, plans and practices established by the government or EGBC.
 13. The conduct set out above at paragraphs 9(d) and 9(e) is contrary to section 3.6.1.5 of the [Guide to the Standard for the authentication of documents \(version 4.0\)](#) which states that all authenticated documents must also have the firm's permit to practice number displayed visibly somewhere on the document. The means and methods of applying the permit to practice number must be documented in the firm's professional practice management plan and all registered professionals should be trained on their firm's procedures.
 14. The conduct set out above at paragraph 9(f) is contrary to section 3.6.1.1 of the [Guide to the Standard for the authentication of documents \(version 4.0\)](#) which states

that a seal is not complete without a signature and date. The date must be the date of authentication, even though this date may differ from the date of the document. Recommended best practice is that the date overlaps with the Seal to mitigate the risk of unauthorized copying of the seal. This recommendation applies to both manual seals and digital seals.

Engineers and Geoscientists BC File T25-062

15. Mr. Hussain admits that he sealed truss layouts and shop drawings for the Pemberton Project that had fundamental engineering deficiencies and lacked critical design information as follows:
 - a. he failed to provide specification for hangers or other truss-to-truss or truss-to support connection hardware in the initial package of shop drawings for all three buildings;
 - b. he failed to include any disclaimers on the initial package of sealed shop drawings, thus creating the impression that the designs were ready for construction when they lacked critical information regarding hangers and hardware;
 - c. he provided sealed layout drawings with inadequate hanger specifications for the application and design loading associated with a specified hanger;
 - d. he failed to provide adequate engineering solutions and relevant details to address site constraints for work completion;
 - e. he failed to complete all of the field reviews for the Pemberton Project himself, rather, he produced inspection reports for two locations of the Pemberton Project dated May 22, 2024 and the remainder of the field reviews were completed by a non-engineer representative of A1 Trusses; and
 - f. he sealed at least two documents using the Manual Seal for the Pemberton Project that lacked dates, clear and legible ink impressions, or Permit to Practice numbers.

16. Mr. Hussain admits that on March 6, 2024, he provided sealed memoranda for each of the residences of the Pemberton Project to the Chief Building Inspector in which he certified that both a truss inspection had been performed and that the trusses were installed in accordance with design and specifications. On May 13, 2024, Mr. Hussain authenticated the Supporting Registered Professional Model Schedule S-B and S-C documents for the Pemberton Project. Inspection reports for the Pemberton Project were produced on May 23, 2024, identifying both outstanding deficiencies and various documents yet to be provided by Mr. Hussain and indicating that Mr. Hussain had sealed and provided documents for the Pemberton Projects that pre-dated the actual completion of pre-engineered truss installation onsite.

17. Mr. Hussain admits that he failed to maintain accurate and complete records for the Pemberton Project.
18. Mr. Hussain admits that the conduct set out above in paragraphs 15, 16, and 17, constitutes professional misconduct contrary to the *PGA*.
19. The conduct set out above at paragraph 15(e) is contrary to section 7.3.3(1) of the Bylaws which requires that field reviews must be completed and documented during the construction, manufacturing, fabrication, implementation, testing, or commissioning of work related to the regulated practice by a professional registrant, or a subordinate under the professional registrant's direct supervision, in a manner that is appropriate to the level of risk that has been assessed through a documented risk assessment.
20. The conduct set out above at paragraph 15(f) is contrary to section 7.3.7(3) of the Bylaws which requires that a professional registrant must establish, maintain, and follow documented procedures related to a professional registrant's manual seal, a professional registrant's digital seal, and authenticating documents, in accordance with the requirements set out in this section of the Bylaws.
21. The conduct set out above in paragraphs 15 and 16 contrary to Principle 1 of the Engineers and Geoscientists BC Code of Ethics, which requires that registrants hold paramount the safety, health, and welfare of the public, including the protection of the environment and the promotion of health and safety in the workplace.
22. The conduct set out above in paragraphs 16 and 17 is contrary to Principle 4 of the Engineers and Geoscientists BC Code of Ethics, which requires that registrants have regard for applicable standards, policies, plans and practices established by the government or EGBC.
23. The conduct set out above in paragraphs 16 and 17 is contrary to Principle 12 of the Code of Ethics which requires that registrants undertake work and documentation with due diligence and in accordance with any guidance developed to standardize professional documentation for the applicable profession.
24. The conduct set out above at paragraph 15(f) is contrary to section 3.6.3.1 of the [Guide to the Standard for the authentication of documents \(version 4.0\)](#) which states that the ink impression of the ink stamp Seal should be clear and legible, and the Document must be signed and dated adjacent to or across the Seal.

Disposition

25. By consent, this Consent Order is made pursuant to section 73 of the *PGA*.
26. Mr. Hussain's registration with Engineers and Geoscientists BC is cancelled effective the date of this Consent Order.

27. If at any time Mr. Hussain applies for reinstatement of his registration in Engineers and Geoscientists BC, he must re-apply, at his own expense, as if he were a first time applicant for the P.Eng. designation, and meet all eligibility requirements per the Bylaws of Engineers and Geoscientists BC at the time of application, this may include:
 - a. the academic requirements;
 - b. the experience requirements, including demonstration of a minimum of four years of satisfactory engineering work experience through the completion of a competency self-assessment and the provision of at least four validators who can confirm the work experience;
 - c. legal and ethical requirements, including successfully completing the National Professional Practice Examination, and the Professional Engineering and Geoscience Practice in BC Online Seminar;
 - d. English language competence;
 - e. good character and repute requirements; and
 - f. any other requirements deemed necessary by the Credentials Committee.
28. Mr. Hussain will pay \$3,700.00 to Engineers and Geoscientists BC contemporaneous with the execution of this Consent Order as a contribution toward the legal and investigative costs incurred in this matter.
29. In the event that Mr. Hussain fails to comply with any of the terms of this Consent Order, he will be prohibited from applying for reinstatement of his registration with Engineers and Geoscientists BC until every default has been remedied in accordance with the terms of this Consent Order.

Consequences of the Consent Order

30. The full text of this Consent Order will be published on the website of Engineers and Geoscientists BC, and a summary will be published in print and electronic publications, including in public communications.
31. Mr. Hussain agrees Engineers and Geoscientists BC recommended that he receive independent legal advice regarding this Consent Order.

LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK

32. Engineers and Geoscientists BC and Mr. Hussain agree that this Consent Order may be executed in counterparts and delivered as an electronic document.

This Consent Order is approved and accepted by Mr. Hussain and the Investigation Committee this 26th day of February 2026.

<original signed by>

Mr. Hamid Majid Hussain

<original signed by>

Name of Witness

<original signed by>

Signature of Witness

The Investigation Committee of the Association
of Professional Engineers and Geoscientists
of the Province of British Columbia

<original signed by>

Per: Peter Helland, P.Eng.
Chair, Investigation Committee